REMARKS

The applicants have studied the Office Action dated March 3, 2003. It is submitted that the application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of all of the claims in view of the above amendment and the following remarks are respectfully requested.

Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 14 has been amended to overcome the rejection.

Claims 1-10, 13-17, 19-28 and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Honda et al. Claims 11-12, 18 and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda in view of Kushihi. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites "forming at least one <u>electromagnetic shield</u> and at least one antenna <u>in substantial electrical current communication</u>." Claim 17 recites a similar language. The Honda and Kushihi references do not disclose an electromagnetic shield in substantial communication with an antenna, as recited in the claims.

The examiner states that figure 2 discloses a shield and states that the back reflectors 16 and 18 are electromagnetic shields. Fig. 2 of the Honda reference discloses the antenna 10. The back reflectors 16 and 18 are part of the antenna 10. "The antenna 10 includes ... back reflector panels 16 and 18..." (See col. 4, lines 21-25 of the reference). Thus, the back reflectors 16 and 18 are not separate entities and are not electromagnetic shields in substantial current communication with an antenna, as recited in the claims. The back reflectors 16 and 18 are part of an antenna.

The Kushihi reference does not address the deficiencies of the Honda reference. The Kushihi references does not disclose an electromagnetic shield in substantial communication with an antenna, as recited in the claims.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 1-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) should be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application and all of the claims are in condition for allowance. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as amended, are requested.

No new claims have been added and no claim fee is due herein. If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 17-0026. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 not accounted for, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account. A duplicate copy of this page is enclosed.

Dated: June 3, 2003

Attn: Patent Department 5775 Morehouse Drive

San Diego, California 92121-1714

Telephone:

(858) 651-1179

Facsimile:

(858) 658-2502

Respectfully submitted,

By: Howard H. Seo

Registration No. 43.106

(858) 845-5235